



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,057	12/14/2001	Udo Schutz	PR-37	6216
7:	590 10/14/2003		EXAMINER	
Friedrich Kueffner			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Suite 910 317 Madison Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017			3727	17
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	3 1 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`		
Advisory Action	10/017,057	SCHUTZ, UDO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-11</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
		Stephen J. Castella Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727	ano		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration is not persuasive since it provides an alternate interpretation. Applicant fails to address the examiner's interpretation and specifically point out how the examiner's interpretation is deficient.